# AUGUST 26, 2010

8:30-9:00 Coffee and Arrivals

Introductions 9:00-9:30

Theme One:

9:30-11:00

Panel 1: A TRADITION OR A THEORY:

WHAT IS THE SOURCE OF THE JUST WAR'S

NORMATIVE FORCE?

Greg Reichberg, PRIO Henry Shue, Oxford University Nigel Biggar, Oxford University

\_\_ 11:00-11:30 Coffee

11:30-1:00

Panel 2: CRITICAL PERSPECTIVES:

IS JUST WAR A CRITICAL TOOL OR A

CONSERVATIVE TRADITION?

Chris Brown, London School of Economics Laura Sjoberg, University of Florida Brent Steele, Kansas University

1:00-2:30 LUNCH

Theme Two:

2:30-4:00

Panel 3: JUS AD BELLUM:

> CAN JUST WAR SPEAK TO THE EMERGENCE OF NEW FORMS OF POLITICAL COMMUNITY AND AUTHORITY IN A GLOBALIZED WORLD?

> > James Turner Johnson, Rutgers Univesity Nahed Zehr, Florida State University Joseph Boyle, University of Toronto

Coffee \_ 4:00-4:15

4:15-5:45 Panel 4:

JUS IN BELLO:

CAN JUST WAR SPEAK TO THE DIVERSIFIED BATTLEFIELDS OF THE 21ST CENTURY?

> Neta C. Crawford, Boston University Michael Gross, Haifa University Cian O'Driscoll, Glasgow University

AUGUST 27, 2010

Theme Three:

Panel 5:

9:00-10:30

ADDRESSING PRACTITIONERS:

CAN JUST WAR SPEAK TO MILITARY EDUCATION, THE DEVELOPMENT OF LEGAL REGIMES, AND WEAPONS PROCUREMENT POLICIES IN AN AGE OF TERRORISM?

> Martin L. Cook. US Naval War College Albert C. Pierce, National Defence University Anthony F. Lang, University of St Andrews

\_ 10:30-11:00 Coffee

II:00-I2:30

Panel 6: Question:

ADDRESSING THE PUBLIC SPHERE IS THE "TRIUMPH OF JUST WAR THEORY" A GOOD THING, OR DOES IT REPRESENT IMPERIAL OVERSTRETCH?

Nick Rengger, University of St Andrews

Tarik Kochi, Sussex University John Kelsay, Florida State University

## THE NATURE AND PURPOSE OF CONTEMPORARY JUST WAR **THEORIZING**

To what extent do recent developments in the just war tradition enrich the historical canon, or mark a series of wrong turns that damage the intellectual quality of just war as ethical theory? Have recent re-statements of the just war idea perverted or diminished the tradition, or do they represent valid innovations? How important are arguments about just war's Christian origins and influences to its role in analysing and influencing policy in a 'war on terror' that is frequently cast in terms of religious confrontation?

# CHANGING WARFARE AND **CHANGING JUST WAR**

To what extent has the just war tradition's lexicon, categories and concepts retained utility in directing and focusing ethical enquiry into the use of violence? Given the changing character of warfare and the nature of the 'war on terror', are the traditional limits and parameters of the just war tradition in need of revision? If so, how should we adapt them to the challenges of the 21st century battlefield?'

## SHAPING POLICY, MEETING THE CHALLENGES OF DYNAMIC CIRCUMSTANCES

How and to what extent has just war thinking shaped the policy debates and what does this mean for the state of the relationship between theory and practice? Does just war necessarily revolve around certain core commitments, or is it an entirely malleable construct, open to continual re-interpretation in the face of changing political and military circumstances and technologies? How are we to conceive of its limits and parameters? Can it be boiled down to axiomatic 'ideas' or even articles of faith, whether understood in a religious or secular way?

# THE JUST WAR: A STATE OF THE ART

Nigel Biggar

Joseph Boyle

Chris Brown

Martin L. Cook

Neta C. Crawford

Michael Gross

John Kelsay

Tarik Kochi

Anthony F. Lang

Cian O'Driscoll

Albert C. Pierce

Greg Reichberg

Nick Rengger

Henry Shue

Laura Sjoberg

Brent Steele

James Turner Johnson

Nahed Zehr

USIP, Washington DC, 26-27

August 2010.